

Questions received regarding the RFQ for the PW Facility will be posted to the web site. The Village will provide responses to these questions as expeditiously as possible.

1. Would MEP/FP engineering services fall under the WBK Engineering contract or should the consultant provide those services?

*The MEP/FP engineering services fall under the consultant's contract.* 

2. Does WBK Engineering have a consultant for the fueling station?

WBK does not have a consultant specifically for the fueling station. Their scope includes geotechnical investigation which covers sample collection for environmental testing and Clean Construction or Demolition Debris (CCDD)

3. I am curious to why the Village chose to go out for RFQ for the main project in lieu of staying with Legat?

The Village's scope with Legat was relative to a Space Needs Study. The Village is moving forward with this RFQ to determine qualifications for designing any building or site improvements as part of the facility expansion project.

4. Did the study go well with Legat?

Legat provided a document that met the Village's scope for a space needs analysis and the Village was satisfied with the work performed.

5. Were you happy with them?

See answer to number 4.

6. My focus is trying to figure out if this is solely an exercise in pricing, or if the Village truly open minded and looking for the best company to complete the work?

Being that this process is following the Local Government Professional Services Selection Act, the Village intends to interview and select a company based on qualifications and ability to complete the facility expansion project. Once a company is selected, the Village intends to enter into contract discussions where pricing is a component. A proposed contract would then be presented to the Village Board for review

7. I guess what I am asking is if the project/process going to be a completely open process?

See answer to number 6.

8. Under 3.4.b., it is noted that "Resumes should not include project or general firm information." The previous sentence notes that the resumes should include their work experience. I was hoping you could clarify as our understanding is that we would show project experience on our team members' resumes, but not go into detail about the project on the resumes.

Your understanding is correct. We are looking for information on an individual team member's project experience and their role on the team.

Section 3.3 "Relevant Project Qualification and Experience as a Firm" would be a more appropriate section to discuss a project in detail.